### PSY 511

### Methods

Rick Gilmore

### 2021-09-03 07:28:50

- [Neuroscience](#page-1-0) methods
	- $\circ$  [Evaluating](#page-1-1) methods
		- What are we [measuring?](#page-1-2)
		- What is the [question?](#page-2-0)
	- $\circ$  [Evaluating](#page-2-1) methods
		- Strengths & [Weaknesses](#page-2-2)
	- $\circ$  Spatial [resolution](#page-2-3)
	- …and temporal [resolution](#page-2-4)
	- $\circ$  Types of [methods](#page-3-0)
- [Structural](#page-3-1) methods
	- $\circ$  Mapping [microstructure](#page-3-2)
		- [Golgi](#page-3-3) stain
			- [Camillo](#page-4-0) Golgi
		- Nissl [stain](#page-5-0)
			- [Franz](#page-5-1) Nissl
		- **[Brainbow](#page-6-0)**
		- [Clarity](#page-7-0)
		- **[CUBIC](#page-7-1)**
		- [Example](#page-7-2)
		- Evaluating [micro/cellular](#page-8-0) techniques
		- **[Example](#page-8-1)**
	- Mapping [macro-structures](#page-10-0)
		- Computed axial [tomography](#page-10-1) (CAT), CT
			- [Tomography](#page-10-2)
		- [Magnetic Resonance](#page-11-0) Imaging (MRI)
			- What it [measures/how](#page-11-1) it works
			- [Structural](#page-12-0) MRI
			- [Example](#page-12-1)
			- [MR Spectroscopy](#page-13-0) (specific metabolites)
			- Voxel-based [morphometry](#page-14-0) (VBM)
	- $\circ$  Mapping the wiring diagram [\("connectome"\)](#page-15-0)
		- Retrograde (output -> input) vs. [anterograde](#page-16-0) (input -> output) tracers
		- [Diffusion](#page-16-1) Tensor Imaging (DTI)
		- Visualizing the [connectome](#page-17-0)
- [Functional](#page-19-0) methods
- $\circ$  [Recording](#page-19-1) from the brain
	- [Single/multi-unit](#page-19-2) Recording
	- **[Electrocorticography](#page-19-3) (ECoG)**
	- **Positron Emission [Tomography](#page-21-0) (PET)** 
		- Example: [Neurotransmitter](#page-21-1) receptor binding and behavior
		- [Comparing](#page-23-0) PET and fMRI
- Functional [Magnetic Resonance](#page-23-1) Imaging (fMRI)
	- $\blacksquare$  [Evaluating](#page-24-0) fMRI
	- [Hemodynamic Response](#page-24-1) Function (HRF)
	- Higher field [strengths \(3](#page-24-2) Tesla vs. 7 Tesla)
	- **Dut fMRI [underpowered](#page-25-0)**
	- Functional Near-infrared [Spectroscopy](#page-25-1) (fNIRS)
	- **[Electroencephalography](#page-26-0) (EEG)** 
		- What does EEG [measure?](#page-26-1)
		- [Collecting](#page-27-0) EEG
		- [Evaluating](#page-28-0) EEG
		- Event-related [potentials \(ERPs\)](#page-28-1)
		- Brain [Computer](#page-29-0) Interface (BCI)
	- [Magneto-encephalography](#page-29-1) (MEG)
	- How do [EEG/MEG](#page-32-0) and fMRI relate?
- $\circ$  [Manipulating](#page-32-1) the brain
	- [Interfering](#page-32-2) with the brain
		- **[Phineas Gage](#page-33-0)**
		- Evaluating [neuropsychological](#page-33-1) methods
- $\circ$  [Stimulating](#page-34-0) the brain
	- Deep brain [stimulation](#page-34-1) as therapy
	- [Optogenetics](#page-35-0)
- $\circ$  Sim[ulating](#page-36-0) the brain
	- **Blue Brain [project](#page-36-1)**
- $\circ$  Or [synthesizing](#page-36-2) one...
	- Human brain [organoids](#page-36-3)
- [References](#page-38-0)

# <span id="page-1-0"></span>Neuroscience methods

# <span id="page-1-1"></span>Evaluating methods

<span id="page-1-2"></span>What are we measuring?

- Structure
- Activity
	- Why not function?

### <span id="page-2-0"></span>What is the question?

- Structure X -> Structure Y
- Structure X -> Function Y

# <span id="page-2-1"></span>Evaluating methods

### <span id="page-2-2"></span>Strengths & Weaknesses

- Cost
- Invasiveness  $\bullet$
- Spatial/temporal resolution

# <span id="page-2-3"></span>Spatial resolution



http://ai.ato.ms/MITECS/Images/churchland.figure1.gif [\(http://ai.ato.ms/MITECS/Images/churchland.figure1.gif\)](http://ai.ato.ms/MITECS/Images/churchland.figure1.gif)

<span id="page-2-4"></span>…and temporal resolution



(https://media.springernature.com/lw685/springer-

[static/image/art%3A10.1038%2Fnn.3839/MediaObjects/41593\\_2014\\_Article\\_BFnn3839\\_Fig1\\_HTML.](https://media.springernature.com/lw685/springer-static/image/art%3A10.1038%2Fnn.3839/MediaObjects/41593_2014_Article_BFnn3839_Fig1_HTML.jpg?as=webp) as=webp)

(Sejnowski, Churchland, & Movshon, 2014) [\(http://doi.org/10.1038/nn.3839\)](http://doi.org/10.1038/nn.3839)

# <span id="page-3-0"></span>Types of methods

- Structural
	- Anatomy
	- Connectivity/connectome
- Functional
	- What does it do?
	- o Physiology/Activity

# <span id="page-3-1"></span>Structural methods

# <span id="page-3-2"></span>Mapping microstructure

- Cell/axon stains
- Cellular distribution, concentration, microanatomy

### <span id="page-3-3"></span>Golgi stain

whole cells, but small %



http://connectomethebook.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/11/Brainforest17\_1119.jpg [\(http://connectomethebook.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/11/Brainforest17\\_1119.jpg\)](http://connectomethebook.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/11/Brainforest17_1119.jpg)

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

http://wam.umn.edu/wp-content/uploads/2016/12/WAM\_Cajal\_m1673.jpg [\(http://wam.umn.edu/wp-content/uploads/2016/12/WAM\\_Cajal\\_m1673.jpg\)](http://wam.umn.edu/wp-content/uploads/2016/12/WAM_Cajal_m1673.jpg) Camillo Golgi [\(https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Camillo\\_Golgi\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Camillo_Golgi)



### <span id="page-5-0"></span>Nissl stain

- Only cell bodies
- Cell density ~ color intensity



<span id="page-5-1"></span>Franz Nissl [\(https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Franz\\_Nissl\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Franz_Nissl)



https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Franz\_Nissl [\(https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Franz\\_Nissl\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Franz_Nissl)

<span id="page-6-0"></span>Brainbow [\(http://cbs.fas.harvard.edu/science/connectome](http://cbs.fas.harvard.edu/science/connectome-project/brainbow)project/brainbow)



**Nature Reviews** science

(Lichtman, Livet, & Sanes, 2008) [\(http://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2391\)](http://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2391)



Nature Reviews | Neuroscience

(Lichtman, Livet, & Sanes, 2008) [\(http://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2391\)](http://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2391)

<span id="page-7-0"></span>Clarity [\(http://clarityresourcecenter.com/CLARITY.html\)](http://clarityresourcecenter.com/CLARITY.html)



<https://www.youtube.com/embed/c-NMfp13Uug> (https://www.youtube.com/embed/c-NMfp13Uug)

<span id="page-7-1"></span>CUBIC [\(https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/CUBIC\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/CUBIC)

- CUBIC ("clear, unobstructed brain/body imaging cocktails and computational analysis")
- (Susaki et al., 2014) [\(http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2014.03.042\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2014.03.042)

```
Example
```


(Ando, Laborde, Brion, & Duyckaerts, 2018) [\(https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-63639-](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-63639-3.00021-9) 3.00021-9)

Fig. 21.2. Immunostaining of CUBIC-clarified 500-μm-thick slices from human Alzheimer disease postmortem brain frontal cortex. Human Alzheimer disease frontal cortex tissue immunostained for Aß (6E10, red) and for tau (B19, green). Stack depth of 264  $\mu$ m; step size = 1  $\mu$ m. Stack photos were taken with a two-photon microscope equipped with a 20  $\times$  air objective. Scale bar, 100  $\mu$ m.

### <span id="page-8-0"></span>Evaluating micro/cellular techniques

- Invasive (in humans post-mortem only)
- High spatial resolution, but poor/coarse temporal

### <span id="page-8-1"></span>Example

"SHANK2 mutations associated with autism spectrum disorder cause hyperconnectivity of human neurons" (Zaslavsky et al., 2019) [\(http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41593-019-0365-8\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41593-019-0365-8)



(Zaslavsky et al., 2019) [\(http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41593-019-0365-8\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41593-019-0365-8)

a, iPSCs generated from multiple control and affected individuals are differentiated into NPCs. NPCs are differentiated in separate wells for 4 weeks and then differentially fluorescently labeled control (CTRL) and mutant (MUT) cells are sparsely seeded onto a large unlabeled neuronal population (the lawn) and cocultured with astrocytes. b, Timeline of the experiment, starting with seeding of NPCs. Measurements of mutant cells are normalized to control cells in the same well. c, Sparse seeding allows simultaneous analyses of cell morphology and connectivity (total number of SYN1 puncta) of single neurons. Scale bars, 100 μm. d, To compare cell morphology, paired representative traces are shown of control and SHANK2 ASD or engineered SHANK2 KO neurons grown in the same well. e, To compare synaptic function, sEPSCs are recorded from neurons grown in the same well. Confocal images and traces shown in c and d are representative of iPSC-derived neurons imaged in experiments depicted in Fig. 2a– c. sEPSC traces shown in e are representative of patch-clamp recordings of iPSCderived neurons described in Fig. 3.

### <span id="page-10-0"></span>Mapping macro-structures

<span id="page-10-1"></span>Computed axial tomography (CAT), CT

X-ray based



http://img.tfd.com/mk/T/X2604-T-22.png [\(http://img.tfd.com/mk/T/X2604-T-22.png\)](http://img.tfd.com/mk/T/X2604-T-22.png)

### <span id="page-10-2"></span>Tomography



http://static.howstuffworks.com/gif/cat-scan-pineapple.jpg [\(http://static.howstuffworks.com/gif/cat-scan-pineapple.jpg\)](http://static.howstuffworks.com/gif/cat-scan-pineapple.jpg)



[https://medium.com/datadriveninvestor/detecting-brain-hemorrhage-in-computed-tomography-ct](https://medium.com/datadriveninvestor/detecting-brain-hemorrhage-in-computed-tomography-ct-imaging-d1276cb6bdb7)imaging-d1276cb6bdb7 (https://medium.com/datadriveninvestor/detecting-brain-hemorrhage-incomputed-tomography-ct-imaging-d1276cb6bdb7)

### <span id="page-11-0"></span>Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI)

#### <span id="page-11-1"></span>What it measures/how it works

- Magnetic resonance a property of some isotopes and complex molecules
- Hydrogen  $(H)$ , common in water & fat, is one
- In magnetic field,  $H$  atoms absorb and release radio frequency (RF) energy
- $H$  atoms align with strong magnetic field
- Applying RF pulse perturbs alignment
- Rate/timing of realignment varies by tissue
- Realignment gives off radio frequency (RF) signals
- Strength of RF ~ density of  $H$  (or other target)
- K-space (frequency/phase) -> anatomical space
	- Fourier analysis and synthesis [\(https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fourier\\_transform\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fourier_transform) important for psychological/neural science, but possibly unfamiliar to many.



http://s.hswstatic.com/gif/mri-steps.jpg [\(http://s.hswstatic.com/gif/mri-steps.jpg\)](http://s.hswstatic.com/gif/mri-steps.jpg)

### <span id="page-12-0"></span>Structural MRI

- Tissue density/type differences
- **Gray matter** (nerve cells & **dendrites**) vs. **white matter** (**axon fibers**)



<span id="page-12-1"></span>Example









(Lee et al., 2021) [\(http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2020.10.014\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2020.10.014)

Figure 1. Longitudinal trajectories of total cerebral volume, gray matter volume, and white matter volume from early to middle childhood (A) in boys with autism spectrum disorder (ASD) and typically developing (TD) boys and (B) in boys with ASD and disproportionate megalencephaly (ASD-DM), boys with ASD with normative cerebral volume-to-height ratio (ASD-N), and TD boys.

<span id="page-13-0"></span>MR Spectroscopy (specific metabolites)



Region sizes/volumes

<span id="page-14-0"></span>Voxel-based morphometry (VBM)

MRI technique for measuring brain sizes/volumes



(Pomarol-Clotet et al., 2010) [\(https://dx.doi.org/10.1038/mp.2009.146\)](https://dx.doi.org/10.1038/mp.2009.146)

Top panel: (a) voxel-based morphometry (VBM) findings. Regions showing significant volume reduction thresholded at P=0.01 in the schizophrenic patients are shown in orange. Bottom panel: (b) functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) findings. Regions are shown where there were significant differences between patients and controls during performance of the n-back task (2-back vs baseline comparison), thresholded at P=0.01. Blue indicates hypoactivation, that is, areas where controls activated significantly more than the patients. Orange indicates areas where the schizophrenic patients showed failure to deactivate in comparison to controls. The right side of the images represents the left side of the brain.

- Volume differences in schizophrenics vs. controls
- Colored portions are statistical maps placed on top of a base structural map.
- Maps (a) provide information about the comparison in brain volumes between patients and controls in those areas, and in (b) functional imaging differences in an n-back task.

### <span id="page-15-0"></span>Mapping the wiring diagram ("connectome")





GOPEN ACCESS **D** PEER-REVIEWED

RESEARCH ARTICLE

#### Could a Neuroscientist Understand a Microprocessor?

Eric Jonas [8], Konrad Paul Kording

Published: January 12, 2017 · https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005268



<span id="page-16-0"></span>Retrograde (output -> input) vs. anterograde (input -> output) tracers

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

http://openi.nlm.nih.gov/imgs/512/348/3176268/3176268\_1471-2105-12-351-2.png [\(http://openi.nlm.nih.gov/imgs/512/348/3176268/3176268\\_1471-2105-12-351-2.png\)](http://openi.nlm.nih.gov/imgs/512/348/3176268/3176268_1471-2105-12-351-2.png)

![](_page_16_Picture_3.jpeg)

https://www.youtube.com/embed/nvXuq9jRWKE [\(https://www.youtube.com/embed/nvXuq9jRWKE\)](https://www.youtube.com/embed/nvXuq9jRWKE)

### <span id="page-16-1"></span>Diffusion Tensor Imaging (DTI)

- Structural MRI technique
- Diffusion tensor: measurement of spatial pattern of  $H_2O$  diffusion in small volume
- Uniform ("isotropic") vs. non-uniform ("anisotropic")
- Strong anisotropy suggests large # of axons with similar orientations (fiber tracts)

![](_page_17_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

https://www.nap.edu/openbook/13373/xhtml/images/p26.jpg [\(https://www.nap.edu/openbook/13373/xhtml/images/p26.jpg\)](https://www.nap.edu/openbook/13373/xhtml/images/p26.jpg)

<span id="page-17-0"></span>Visualizing the connectome

![](_page_18_Figure_0.jpeg)

(Bonilha et al., 2015) [\(http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0135247\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0135247)

Fig 2. Link-wise ICCs. Each matrix entry represents the ICC observed for the white matter link between the gray matter ROI in the row and the gray matter ROI in the column. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0135247.g002 [\(https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0135247.g002\)](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0135247.g002)

(Bonilha et al., 2015) [\(http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0135247\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0135247)

![](_page_18_Figure_4.jpeg)

# <span id="page-19-0"></span>Functional methods

- Recording from the brain
- Interfering with the brain
- Stimulating the brain
- Simulating the brain

# <span id="page-19-1"></span>Recording from the brain

### <span id="page-19-2"></span>Single/multi-unit Recording

- Microelectrodes + amplification
- Small numbers of nerve cells

![](_page_19_Figure_10.jpeg)

**Nature Reviews | Neuroscience** 

https://www.nature.com/nrn/journal/v5/n11/images/nrn1535-i1.jpg [\(https://www.nature.com/nrn/journal/v5/n11/images/nrn1535-i1.jpg\)](https://www.nature.com/nrn/journal/v5/n11/images/nrn1535-i1.jpg)

- What does neuron X respond to?
- How does firing frequency, timing vary with behavior?
- Great temporal (ms), spatial resolution (um)
- Invasive
- Rarely suitable for humans, but…

<span id="page-19-3"></span>Electrocorticography (ECoG) [\(https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Electrocorticography\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Electrocorticography)

![](_page_20_Picture_0.jpeg)

Grid electrodes: (A) Craniotomy performed for electrocorticography (ECoG) grid electrode placement in epilepsy surgery candidate at Comprehensive Epilepsy Program, Florida Hospital for Children, Orlando, Florida, United States. (B) ECoG electrode grids placed directly on the brain surface. They will be used during presurgical monitoring for localizing seizure onset zone. The same electrodes are stimulated during electrical cortical stimulation mapping for identification of eloquent cortex. The ECoG signal recorded from these grids is separated in a different stream and used for real-time functional mapping (RTFM). (C) 3D reconstruction of the brain with overlaid grid electrodes. This reconstruction is used for creating RTFM montage.

![](_page_21_Picture_0.jpeg)

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=u50HPRe3rOY> (https://www.youtube.com/watch? v=u50HPRe3rOY)

<span id="page-21-0"></span>Positron Emission Tomography (PET) [\(https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Positron\\_emission\\_tomography\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Positron_emission_tomography)

![](_page_21_Picture_3.jpeg)

https://www.youtube.com/embed/GHLBcCv4rqk [\(https://www.youtube.com/embed/GHLBcCv4rqk\)](https://www.youtube.com/embed/GHLBcCv4rqk)

- Radioactive tracers (glucose, oxygen)
- Positron decay activates paired detectors
- Tomographic techniques reconstruct 3D geometry
- Experimental condition control
- Average across individuals
- Temporal (~ s) and spatial (mm-cm) resolution worse than fMRI
- Radioactive exposures + mildly invasive
- Dose < airline crew exposure in 1 yr

<span id="page-21-1"></span>Example: Neurotransmitter receptor binding and behavior

![](_page_22_Figure_0.jpeg)

The blue outline marks brain regions where lower [11C]carfentanil binding potential (BPND) associated with higher External eating score, age and PET scanner as nuisance covariates, cluster forming threshold p < 0.01, FWE corrected. In the red–yellow T-score scale shown are also additional bilateral associations significant with more lenient cluster-defining threshold (p < 0.05, FWE corrected) for visualization purposes.

(Kantonen et al., 2021) [\(http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41398-021-01559-5\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41398-021-01559-5)

Our main finding was that higher DEBQ scores were associated with lower central availability of MORs and CB1Rs in healthy, nonobese humans. MOR and CB1R systems however showed distinct patterns of associations with specific dimensions of selfreported eating: While CB1Rs were associated in general negatively with different DEBQ subscale scores (and most saliently with the Total DEBQ score), MORs were specifically and negatively associated with externally driven eating only. Our results support the view that variation in endogenous opioid and endocannabinoid systems explain interindividual variation in feeding, with distinct effects on eating behavior measured with DEBQ.

(Kantonen et al., 2021) [\(http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41398-021-01559-5\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41398-021-01559-5)

<span id="page-23-0"></span>![](_page_23_Figure_0.jpeg)

[(Rischka et al., [2018\)\(http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.06.079](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.06.079) (http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.06.079))]

Fig. 2. Task-specific changes during finger tapping and visual stimulation obtained with fPET and fMRI across all subjects. Good agreement between CMRGlu and BOLD was observed for primary motor and visual cortices. However, in secondary areas (e.g., supplementary motor area, cerebellum, secondary visual areas) significant changes were only detected with fMRI but not with fPET (Table 2). Statistical maps were corrected for multiple comparisons at p<0.05 FWE corrected voxel-level.

The brain's energy budget can be non-invasively assessed with different imaging modalities such as functional MRI (fMRI) and PET (fPET), which are sensitive to oxygen and glucose demands, respectively. The introduction of hybrid PET/MRI systems further enables the simultaneous acquisition of these parameters…The absence of a correlation and the different activation pattern between fPET and fMRI suggest that glucose metabolism and oxygen demand capture complementary aspects of energy demands.

(Rischka et al., 2018) [\(http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.06.079\)\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.06.079)

### <span id="page-23-1"></span>Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging (fMRI)

- Neural activity -> local  $O_2$  consumption increase
- Blood Oxygen Level Dependent (BOLD) response
- Oxygenated vs. deoxygenated hemoglobin ≠ magnetic susceptibility
- How do regional blood  $O_2$  levels (& flow & volume) vary with behavior X?
- $\bullet$  MRI "signals" relate to the speed (1/T) of "relaxation" of the perturbed nuclei to their state of alignment with the main  $(B_0)$  magnetic field.
- Imaging protocols emphasize different time constants of this relaxation (T1, T2, T2\*);  $T^{2^*}$  for BOLD imaging

### <span id="page-24-0"></span>Evaluating fMRI

- Non-invasive, but expensive
- Moderate but improving (mm) spatial, temporal (~sec) resolution
- Spatial limits due to
	- field strength (@ 3T  $3mm^3$  voxel)
	- Physiology of hemodynamic response
- Temporal limits due to
	- $\circ$  Hemodynamic Response Function (HRF):  $\sim$  1s delay plus 3-6 s ramp-up
	- o Speed of image acquisition
- Indirect measure of neural activity

### <span id="page-24-1"></span>Hemodynamic Response Function (HRF)

![](_page_24_Figure_11.jpeg)

https://openi.nlm.nih.gov/imgs/512/236/3109590/3109590\_TONIJ-5-24\_F1.png [\(https://openi.nlm.nih.gov/imgs/512/236/3109590/3109590\\_TONIJ-5-24\\_F1.png\)](https://openi.nlm.nih.gov/imgs/512/236/3109590/3109590_TONIJ-5-24_F1.png)

Generate "predicted" BOLD response to event; compare to actual

![](_page_24_Figure_14.jpeg)

<span id="page-24-2"></span>Higher field strengths (3 Tesla vs. 7 Tesla)

![](_page_25_Figure_0.jpeg)

(Sladky et al., 2013) [\(https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrad.2011.09.025\)](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrad.2011.09.025)

### <span id="page-25-0"></span>but fMRI underpowered

![](_page_25_Figure_3.jpeg)

(Szucs & Ioannidis, 2017) [\(\(https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.2000797\)\)](http://127.0.0.1:6432/(https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.2000797))

Assuming a realistic range of prior probabilities for null hypotheses, false report probability is likely to exceed 50% for the whole literature.

(Szucs & Ioannidis, 2017) [\(\(https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.2000797\)\)](http://127.0.0.1:6432/(https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.2000797))

- Solutions
	- $\circ$  Make data, materials (analysis code) more widely and openly available
	- OpenNeuro.org [\(https://openneuro.org\)](https://openneuro.org/), Human Connectome Project [\(https://www.humanconnectomeproject.org/\),](https://www.humanconnectomeproject.org/) Databrary.org (https://databrary.org), etc.
	- Reuse shared data (e.g., Adolescent Brain & Cognitive Development (ABCD) Study [\(https://abcdstudy.org/\)\)](https://abcdstudy.org/)
	- $\circ$  Increase sample sizes, improve detection of small effects

### <span id="page-25-1"></span>Functional Near-infrared Spectroscopy (fNIRS)

- Near infrared light penetrates scalp and skull, refracted by brain tissue
- 
- Returned signal altered by blood  $O_2$  levels
- Time course (temporal resolution) ~ BOLD fMRI
- Spatial resolution low
- More suitable for pediatric populations (less susceptible to movement artefact)

![](_page_26_Picture_4.jpeg)

Source: https://cibsr.stanford.edu/NIRS\_Lab.html [\(https://cibsr.stanford.edu/NIRS\\_Lab.html\)](https://cibsr.stanford.edu/NIRS_Lab.html)

![](_page_26_Picture_6.jpeg)

Source: https://nirx.net [\(https://nirx.net\)](https://nirx.net/)

### <span id="page-26-0"></span>Electroencephalography (EEG)

- How does it work?
- Electrodes on scalp or brain surface

#### <span id="page-26-1"></span>What does EEG measure?

• Voltage differences between source and reference electrode

- Combined activity of huge # of neurons
- Current/voltage gradients between apical (near surface) dendrites and basal (deeper) dendrites and cell body/soma

![](_page_27_Figure_2.jpeg)

https://neurofeedbackalliance.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/10/Dipole.jpg [\(https://neurofeedbackalliance.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/10/Dipole.jpg\)](https://neurofeedbackalliance.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/10/Dipole.jpg)"

### <span id="page-27-0"></span>Collecting EEG

![](_page_27_Picture_5.jpeg)

https://sfari.org/images/images-2013-folder/images-sfn-2013/20131110sfneeg [\(https://sfari.org/images/images-2013-folder/images-sfn-2013/20131110sfneeg\)](https://sfari.org/images/images-2013-folder/images-sfn-2013/20131110sfneeg)

### <span id="page-28-0"></span>Evaluating EEG

- High temporal, poor spatial resolution
- Analyze activity in different 'bands' of frequencies (via Fourier [\(https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fourier\\_transform\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fourier_transform) analysis)
	- LOW: deep sleep (delta or  $\delta$  band)
	- MIDDLE: Quiet, alert state (alpha  $\alpha$  band)
	- HIGHER: Sensorimotor activity reflecting observed actions? (mu or  $\mu$  band), (Hobson & Bishop, 2017) [\(https://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsos.160662\)](https://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsos.160662)
	- HIGHER STILL: "Binding" information across senses or plasticity? (gamma or  $\gamma$ band), (Amo et al., 2017) [\(https://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0186008\)](https://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0186008)

Beta - highly alert

Alpha - relaxed

Theta - drowsy

Delta - deep sleep

 $1$  sec

https://www.peakmind.co.uk/images/frequency.jpg [\(https://www.peakmind.co.uk/images/frequency.jpg\)](https://www.peakmind.co.uk/images/frequency.jpg)

<span id="page-28-1"></span>Event-related potentials (ERPs) [\(https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Event](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Event-related_potential)related\_potential)

- EEGs time-locked to some event
- …Averaged over many such events (trials)

### **Event-Related Potential Technique**

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

<span id="page-29-0"></span>Brain Computer Interface (BCI) [\(https://computer.howstuffworks.com/brain](https://computer.howstuffworks.com/brain-computer-interface.htm)computer-interface.htm)

• Based on EEG/ERPs

![](_page_29_Picture_4.jpeg)

<span id="page-29-1"></span>Magneto-encephalography (MEG)

- Like EEG, but measuring magnetic fields
- Electrical and magnetic fields orthogonal
- High temporal resolution
- Magnetic fields propagate w/o distortion

But are orthogonal to electric field

HOD COODE &

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Electromagnetic\_field [\(https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Electromagnetic\\_field\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Electromagnetic_field)

- Requires shielded chamber (to keep out strong magnetic fields)
- $+$  ++ cost vs. EEG

![](_page_30_Picture_5.jpeg)

https://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/commons/e/e6/NIMH\_MEG.jpg [\(https://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/commons/e/e6/NIMH\\_MEG.jpg\)](https://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/commons/e/e6/NIMH_MEG.jpg)

New device minimizes problems with motion

![](_page_31_Figure_0.jpeg)

(Hill et al., 2019) [\(https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-12486-x\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-12486-x)

Figure 1. A paediatric MEG system: a Experimental setup for three participants age 2- (left), 5- (centre) and 24-years (right). OPMs, housed in a modified bike helmet, measured the MEG signal. b Time-frequency spectra from a single (synthesised gradiometer) channel. Changes in neural oscillations are shown; blue indicates a reduction in oscillatory amplitude relative to baseline; yellow indicates an increase. Note reduction in beta (13–30 Hz) and mu (8–13 Hz) amplitude. c The spatial signature of beta modulation during the period of tactile stimulation (0 s < t < 2 s) (blue overlay)

### <span id="page-32-0"></span>How do EEG/MEG and fMRI relate?

![](_page_32_Figure_2.jpeg)

rotating chequerboard patterns in striate cortex. Activation can be measured around the electrode tip. c, Haemodynamic response (red) superimposed on the de-noised raw neural signal (black). The term 'de-noised raw' denotes that no other signal processing beyond the removal of gradient interference (see Methods) was done. The r.m.s. of the signal is indicated by a thick yellow line.  $d-f$ , Spectrograms for data collected over 24, 12

black and blue dashed lines, respectively. The vertical panel along the frequency axis shows the average spectra for the pre-stimulus, stimulation, and post-stimulus periods. Colour bar shows the logarithm of power. ADC, Analogue to digital converter; STIM, time course of the visual stimulus; PRE, pre-stimulus period; ON, stimulus presentation period; POST, post-stimulus period.

(Logothetis, Pauls, Augath, Trinath, & Oeltermann, 2001) [\(https://doi.org/10.1038/35084005\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/35084005)

- BOLD fMRI likely reflects **presynaptic** input to area
- EEG/MEG likely reflects **postsynaptic** response to those inputs
- (Logothetis, Pauls, Augath, Trinath, & Oeltermann, 2001) [\(https://doi.org/10.1038/35084005\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/35084005) and (Logothetis & Wandell, 2004) [\(https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.physiol.66.082602.092845\)](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.physiol.66.082602.092845)

# <span id="page-32-1"></span>Manipulating the brain

- Interfering with it
- Stimulating it

### <span id="page-32-2"></span>Interfering with the brain

Nature's"experiments"

- Stroke, head injury, tumor
- Neuropsychology

#### <span id="page-33-0"></span>Phineas Gage

![](_page_33_Picture_3.jpeg)

http://www.doctorsimpossible.com/the-curious-case-of-phineas-gage/ [\(http://www.doctorsimpossible.com/the-curious-case-of-phineas-gage/\)](http://www.doctorsimpossible.com/the-curious-case-of-phineas-gage/)

![](_page_33_Picture_5.jpeg)

<span id="page-33-1"></span>Evaluating neuropsychological methods

- Logic: IF damage to area X impairs performance, THEN region critical for behavior Y
- Double dissociation: Damage to area Z leaves behavior Y intact

Weak spatial/temporal resolution

# <span id="page-34-0"></span>Stimulating the brain

- Electrical (**Direct Current Stimulation - DCS**)
- Pharmacological
- Magnetic (**Transcranial magnetic stimulation - TMS**)
- Spatial/temporal resolution?
- Assume stimulation mimics natural activity?

#### <span id="page-34-1"></span>Deep brain stimulation as therapy

- Depression
- Epilepsy
- Parkinson's Disease

![](_page_34_Picture_11.jpeg)

[https://www.nimh.nih.gov/images/health-and-outreach/mental-health-topic-brain](https://www.nimh.nih.gov/images/health-and-outreach/mental-health-topic-brain-stimulation-therapies/dbs_60715_3.jpg)stimulation-therapies/dbs\_60715\_3.jpg (https://www.nimh.nih.gov/images/health-andoutreach/mental-health-topic-brain-stimulation-therapies/dbs\_60715\_3.jpg)

![](_page_35_Picture_0.jpeg)

https://youtu.be/KDjWdtDyz5I [\(https://youtu.be/KDjWdtDyz5I\)](https://youtu.be/KDjWdtDyz5I)

<span id="page-35-0"></span>Optogenetics [\(https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Optogenetics\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Optogenetics)

![](_page_35_Picture_3.jpeg)

https://www.youtube.com/embed/I64X7vHSHOE [\(https://www.youtube.com/embed/I64X7vHSHOE\)](https://www.youtube.com/embed/I64X7vHSHOE)

- Gene splicing techniques insert light-sensitive molecules into neuronal membranes
- Application of light at specific wavelengths alters neuronal function
- Cell-type specific and temporally precise control
- Mimics brain activity

![](_page_35_Picture_9.jpeg)

https://youtu.be/FlGbznBmx8M [\(https://youtu.be/FlGbznBmx8M\)](https://youtu.be/FlGbznBmx8M)

# <span id="page-36-0"></span>Simulating the brain

- Computer/mathematical models of brain function
- Example: neural networks
- Cheap, noninvasive, can be stimulated or "lesioned"

### <span id="page-36-1"></span>Blue Brain project

![](_page_36_Figure_5.jpeg)

Copyright @ 2006 Nature Publishing Group **Nature Reviews | Neuroscience** 

(Markram, 2006) [\(http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrn1848\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrn1848)

![](_page_36_Picture_8.jpeg)

https://www.youtube.com/embed/gn4nRCC9TwQ [\(https://www.youtube.com/embed/gn4nRCC9TwQ\)](https://www.youtube.com/embed/gn4nRCC9TwQ)

# <span id="page-36-2"></span>Or synthesizing one…

<span id="page-36-3"></span>Human brain organoids

Brain organoids represent a powerful tool for studying human neurological diseases, particularly those that affect brain growth and structure. However, many diseases manifest with clear evidence of physiological and network abnormality in the absence of anatomical changes, raising the question of whether organoids possess sufficient neural network complexity to model these conditions. Here, we explore the networklevel functions of brain organoids using calcium sensor imaging and extracellular recording approaches that together reveal the existence of complex network dynamics reminiscent of intact brain preparations. We demonstrate highly abnormal and epileptiform-like activity in organoids derived from induced pluripotent stem cells from individuals with Rett syndrome, accompanied by transcriptomic differences revealed by single-cell analyses. We also rescue key physiological activities with an unconventional neuroregulatory drug, pifithrin-α. Together, these findings provide an essential foundation for the utilization of brain organoids to study intact and disordered human brain network formation and illustrate their utility in therapeutic discovery.

![](_page_37_Figure_1.jpeg)

(Samarasinghe et al., 2021) [\(http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41593-021-00906-5\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41593-021-00906-5)

a, Schematic illustrating the identification of active neurons by virtue of their Ca2+ transients (I), representation of their network organization (II) and methods used to collect extracellular recordings (III). b, Example of live two-photon microscopy imaging of an H9 hESC-derived fusion organoid demonstrating acquisition of regions of interest (red circles) and the resulting activity profile shown as normalized ΔF/F values, where each line is an individual neuron (middle) and representation of the same data as a colorized amplitude plot (right). c, Addition of 100 μM BMI had a minimal effect on Cx + Cx fusions (top) yet elicited spontaneous synchronization of neural activities in Cx + GE organoids (bottom). d, These synchronizations can be transformed into a normalized amplitude-versus-time plot for quantitative analyses (left) and further visualized as a clustergram following hierarchical clustering of calcium spiking data (right). e, Pooled data of the amplitude measurements. Plots display the full distribution of individual data points. Dashed and dotted lines indicate the median and quartile values, respectively.  $n = 3$  independent experiments for  $Cx +$ Cx and Cx + GE. Analysis of variance (ANOVA)  $P = 0.0011$ ,  $F = 8.301$ , d.f. (between columns) = 3 followed by Tukey's multiple-comparison test; **P = 0.0028 for Cx + Cx versus Cx + GE BMI;** P = 0.0100 for Cx + Cx BMI versus Cx + GE BMI; \*\*P = 0.0031 for Cx + GE versus Cx + GE BMI. f–h, LFPs measured from a representative Cx + GE fusion revealed robust oscillatory activities at multiple frequencies during a 5-min period, reflected in both raw traces (f) and the spectrogram (g). Spectral density analysis in h demonstrates the presence of multiple distinct oscillatory peaks ranging from ~1–100 Hz. i–k, Cx + Cx fusion organoids by contrast lack measurable oscillatory activities. Representative traces in f–h are taken from three independent experiments and in i–k from four independent experiments. NS, not significant.

(Samarasinghe et al., 2021) [\(http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41593-021-00906-5\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41593-021-00906-5)

### <span id="page-38-0"></span>References

- Amo, C., De Santiago, L., Zarza Luciáñez, D., León Alonso-Cortés, J. M., Alonso-Alonso, M., Barea, R., & Boquete, L. (2017). Induced gamma band activity from EEG as a possible index of training-related brain plasticity in motor tasks. PloS One, 12(10), e0186008. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0186008 [\(https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0186008\)](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0186008)
- Ando, K., Laborde, Q., Brion, J.-P., & Duyckaerts, C. (2018). Chapter 21 3D imaging in the postmortem human brain with CLARITY and CUBIC. In I. Huitinga & M. J. Webster (Eds.), Handbook of clinical neurology (Vol. 150, pp. 303–317). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-63639-3.00021-9> (https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0- 444-63639-3.00021-9)
- Bonilha, L., Gleichgerrcht, E., Fridriksson, J., Rorden, C., Breedlove, J. L., Nesland, T., … Focke, N. K. (2015). Reproducibility of the structural brain connectome derived from diffusion tensor imaging. PloS One, 10(8), e0135247. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0135247 [\(https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0135247\)](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0135247)
- Hill, R. M., Boto, E., Holmes, N., Hartley, C., Seedat, Z. A., Leggett, J., … Brookes, M. J. (2019). A tool for functional brain imaging with lifespan compliance. Nature Communications, 10(1), 4785. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-12486-x [\(https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-12486-x\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-12486-x)
- Hobson, H. M., & Bishop, D. V. M. (2017). The interpretation of mu suppression as an index of mirror neuron activity: Past, present and future. Royal Society Open Science, 4(3), 160662. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.160662 [\(https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.160662\)](https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.160662)
- Kantonen, T., Karjalainen, T., Pekkarinen, L., Isojärvi, J., Kalliokoski, K., Kaasinen, V., … Nummenmaa, L. (2021). Cerebral  $\mu$ -opioid and CB1 receptor systems have distinct roles in human feeding behavior. Translational Psychiatry, 11(1), 442. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41398-021-01559-5> (https://doi.org/10.1038/s41398-021- 01559-5)
- Lee, J. K., Andrews, D. S., Ozonoff, S., Solomon, M., Rogers, S., Amaral, D. G., & Nordahl, C. W. (2021). Longitudinal evaluation of cerebral growth across childhood in boys and girls with autism spectrum disorder. Biological Psychiatry, 90(5), 286–294. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2020.10.014 [\(https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2020.10.014\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2020.10.014)
- Lichtman, J. W., Livet, J., & Sanes, J. R. (2008). A technicolour approach to the connectome. Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 9(6), 417–422. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2391 [\(https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2391\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2391)
- Logothetis, N. K., Pauls, J., Augath, M., Trinath, T., & Oeltermann, A. (2001). Neurophysiological investigation of the basis of the fMRI signal. Nature, 412(6843), 150– 157. https://doi.org/10.1038/35084005 [\(https://doi.org/10.1038/35084005\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/35084005)
- Logothetis, N. K., & Wandell, B. A. (2004). Interpreting the BOLD signal. Annu. Rev. Physiol., 66(1), 735–769. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.physiol.66.082602.092845 [\(https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.physiol.66.082602.092845\)](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.physiol.66.082602.092845)
- Markram, H. (2006). The blue brain project. Nature Reviews. Neuroscience, 7(2), 153–160. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn1848 [\(https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn1848\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn1848)
- Pomarol-Clotet, E., Canales-Rodríguez, E. J., Salvador, R., Sarró, S., Gomar, J. J., Vila, F., ... McKenna, P. J. (2010). Medial prefrontal cortex pathology in schizophrenia as revealed by convergent findings from multimodal imaging. Mol. Psychiatry, 15(8), 823–830. https://doi.org/10.1038/mp.2009.146 [\(https://doi.org/10.1038/mp.2009.146\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/mp.2009.146)
- Rischka, L., Gryglewski, G., Pfaff, S., Vanicek, T., Hienert, M., Klöbl, M., … Hahn, A. (2018). Reduced task durations in functional PET imaging with [18F]FDG approaching that of functional MRI. NeuroImage, 181, 323–330.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.06.079

[\(https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.06.079\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.06.079)

- Samarasinghe, R. A., Miranda, O. A., Buth, J. E., Mitchell, S., Ferando, I., Watanabe, M., … Novitch, B. G. (2021). Identification of neural oscillations and epileptiform changes in human brain organoids. Nature Neuroscience. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-021- 00906-5 [\(https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-021-00906-5\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-021-00906-5)
- Sejnowski, T. J., Churchland, P. S., & Movshon, J. A. (2014). Putting big data to good use in neuroscience. Nat. Neurosci., 17(11), 1440–1441. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.3839 [\(https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.3839\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.3839)
- Sladky, R., Baldinger, P., Kranz, G. S., Tröstl, J., Höflich, A., Lanzenberger, R., … Windischberger, C. (2013). High-resolution functional MRI of the human amygdala at 7 T. Eur. J. Radiol., 82(5), 728–733. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrad.2011.09.025 [\(https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrad.2011.09.025\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrad.2011.09.025)
- Susaki, E. A., Tainaka, K., Perrin, D., Kishino, F., Tawara, T., Watanabe, T. M., … Ueda, H. R. (2014). Whole-brain imaging with single-cell resolution using chemical cocktails and computational analysis. Cell, 157(3), 726–739. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2014.03.042 [\(https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2014.03.042\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2014.03.042)
- Szucs, D., & Ioannidis, J. P. A. (2017). Empirical assessment of published effect sizes and power in the recent cognitive neuroscience and psychology literature. PLoS Biol., 15(3), e2000797. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.2000797 [\(https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.2000797\)](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.2000797)
- Zaslavsky, K., Zhang, W.-B., McCready, F. P., Rodrigues, D. C., Deneault, E., Loo, C., … Ellis, J. (2019). SHANK2 mutations associated with autism spectrum disorder cause hyperconnectivity of human neurons. Nature Neuroscience, 22(4), 556–564. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-019-0365-8> (https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-019-0365- 8)